			UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER I P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION
09/553,140	04/20/2000	Michael J. Berman	99-230	4444
24319 7590 01/30/2008 LSI CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
1621 BARBER LANE			CARTER, AARON W	
MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA	05035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBE
WILLFITAS, CP	x >5055		2624	L <u></u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MO
			01/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/553,140	BERMAN, MICHAEL J
	Examiner Aaron W. Carter	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication		2624 with the correspondence address
r choù for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati If NO period for reniv is specified above, the maximum statution 		
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earther baller term activities.	statute, cause the application to become.	ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
earried patent term adjustment. See 37 CER 1.704(b) Status		which mest may reduce any
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL 2b)	<u>31 October 2007 by the Boar</u> This action is non-final	d of Appeals
3) Since this application is in condition for al		Iters prosecution as to the marite in
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O G. 213
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected		
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	prection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		a Once Action of 10m P10-152.
		•
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of 		9 119(a)-(d) or (f)
1 Certified copies of the priority docum		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	Application No
3 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	priority documents have been ireau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.
ttachment(s)	_	
) Notice of References Clied (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date.
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application
Potent and Trademark Office OL 326 (Rev. 08-06) Office		
	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 20080123

Application/Control Number: 09/553,140 Art Unit: 2624

2.

DETAILED ACTION

Decision on Appeal

1. The decision by the Board of Appeals is that the Examiner was REVERSED and

a new ground of rejection was entered. Below are the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following regarding the rejection is copied directly from the pages 6 and 7 of the Board of Appeals Decision. filed on 10/31/07:

"Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention that Appellant regards as the invention. In claim 1, the language "using high speed imaging" in lines 3 and 4, "high speed image" in line 6, and "the acquired high speed image" in line 7 do not particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention because it is unclear what the metes and .

bounds of a "high speed image" is from Appellant's Specification. *SeattleBox Co. v. Industrial Crating & Packing, Inc.*, 731 F.2d 818, 826, 221 USPQ 568, 574 (Fed. Cir. 1984) ("When a word of degree is used the district court must determine whether the patent's specification provides some standard for measuring said degree. The trial court must decide, that is, whether one of ordinary skill in the art would understand what is claimed when the claim is read in light of the specification."). Here, we find no express definitions of "high speed imaging" or of an "acquired high speed image" to differentiate those claim limitations from the prior art. Therefore, we are unable to determine the metes and bounds of each of independent claims 1, 9, 15, and 23 and their respective dependent claims. As a result, we cannot effectively apply prior art thereto.

This decision contains a new ground of rejection pursuant to 37 C F.R. § 41.50(b) (2007). 37 C.F.R. § 41.50(b) provides that "[a] new ground of rejection... shall not be considered final for judicial review."

37 C.F.R. § 41.50(b) also provides that the Appellants, WITHIN TWO MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THE DECISION, must exercise one of the following two options with respect to the new ground of rejection to avoid termination of the appeal as to the rejected claims:

> (1) Reopen prosecution. Submit an appropriate amendment of the claims so rejected or new evidence relating to the claims so rejected, or both, and have the matter reconsidered by the

Application/Control Number: 09/553,140 Art Unit: 2624

•

examiner, in which event the

proceeding will be remanded to the

examiner

(2) Request rehearing. Request that

the proceeding be reheard under

§ 41.52 by the Board upon the same

record"

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron W. Carter whose telephone number is (571) 272-7445. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 4:30 am (Mon. - Fri.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/553,140 Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AU 2624